1

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

DECISION AND ORDER

In a letter received by the Commission on December 14, 1981, the appellant stated in part:

"I am a Correctional Officer II working at the Taycheedah Correctional Institute. I have found out that I am being underpaid in comparison with others in the same classification. Not only is a person with just 3 mos. more seniority paid 6.979 hourly, but officers with a year least seniority are paid 6.944 hourly. While I am receiving 6.893. This difference sure adds up.

* * *

The Commission has reviewed the statutory bases for its authority and cannot ascertain any statutory basis under which it could hear this appeal. This is clearly not an appeal of a reduction in pay under s.230.44(1)(c), stats. If it were construed as such, the appellant's recourse as a represented employe would be under the contractual grievance procedure, as pursuant to s.111.93(3), stats., the collective bargaining agreement acts to supersede civil service statutes relating to wages, hours, and conditions of employment. Also, to the extent that this appeal might be considered to relate to "wages" in any respect, as that term is used in s.111.93(3), that section would act to supersede Commission jurisdiction.

Tedford v. DHSS Case No. 81-455-PC Page Two

ORDER

This appeal is dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

Dated: Nach 4 , 1982

STATE PERSONNEL COMMISSION

DONALD R. MURPHY, Chairperson

JAMES R McCALLIM Commissioner

KMS:ers

<u>Parties</u>

Sue Tedford Route 1, Box 115 Oakfield, WI 53065 Donald Percy Secretary, DHSS 663, 1 W. Wilson St. Madison, WI 53702